

Financial Research Advisory Council Thursday, April 7, 2022





- 1. Framing the issue
- 2. OFR activities to date
- 3. Guidance and input





- 1. Framing the issue
 - definitions and taxonomy
 - high-level findings
 - leading cases
- 2. OFR activities to date
- 3. Guidance and input



Potential threats to financial stability from digital assets ... and from digital finance more broadly

- Digital asset: a representation of value that is stored and transferred digitally, using cryptography and distributed ledger technology (DLT) or similar
 - broad definition; includes many different use cases
 - focus on those related to payments and financial services
- Digital finance: platforms and protocols through which digital assets are stored, traded, and transferred

Taxonomy



• Digital assets:



- Digital finance:
 - centralized: exchanges, custodians, ETFs, etc.
 - decentralized: decentralized trading protocols; DeFi



- new ways of performing a given economic function bring many of the same risks as traditional arrangements
- These risks may be heightened in the new environments
- These risks are currently more difficult to measure and monitor
 - reporting and supervisory arrangements for traditional arrangements were developed over time
- In addition, some novel risks do arise
 - potential shifts in structure of the monetary/financial system

Leading cases

• Digital assets:



- Digital finance:
 - centralized: exchanges, custodians, ETFs, etc.
 - decentralized: decentralized trading protocols; DeFi





- One view of unbacked crypto assets: similar to commodities
 - "outside" asset; not a liability of any individual or institution
 - somewhat like gold, oil (and perhaps real estate)
- Financial stability risks could come through familiar channels:
 - direct holdings of financial institutions and individuals (which may be leveraged)
 - derivatives contracts
 - indirect exposures via counterparties
- Particular concern: risks to systemic institutions
- Evaluate financial stability risks by monitoring these exposures

- Obtaining and evaluating the most relevant data
 - rapid growth in adoption, offshore and unregulated venues
 - leverage and exposures may "hide in the shadows" (as usual)
- Crypto assets likely bring additional risks
 - price volatility may be much higher (esp. extreme outcomes)
 - heightened cybersecurity, operational, and regulatory risks
 - novelty of assets/venues: evaluating risks may be difficult for participants



- Stablecoins backed by non-crypto assets:
 - aim to offer redemption of coins at or near par
 - while holding assets with some risk, imperfect liquidity
- Resemble prime money market mutual funds in key respects
- Familiar risk: runs by investors out of the fund/coin
 - issue arose for prime institutional MMFs in 2008 and 2020
 - creates spillover effects for commercial paper, other shortterm funding markets
- Becoming significant in size
 - market cap. of Tether: \$82 billion, USD Coin: \$51 billion



- Stablecoin asset holdings are much more opaque than for MMFs
 - and, in some cases, the subject of controversy
 - MMFs have a developed regulatory framework with mandated disclosure of assets
 - allows OFR to create the MMF monitor, for example
- Stablecoins are a primary focus of regulators' attention
 report by President's Working Group and others in November
- OFR will continue to monitor developments in this area



- Central bank digital currencies (CBDC) are still largely in the discussion/ early pilot phase
 - discussion papers issued by Fed, ECB, Bank of England, etc.
 - the form a US or Euro CBDC would take is still very uncertain
- Would raise (at least) two types of financial stability risk
 - If bank depositors shift funds into CBDC in normal times,
 - how will banks respond? by taking more risk?
 - shifting to CBDC might be particularly attractive to depositors in periods of financial stress
 - would CBDC make banks more susceptible to runs?

- Risks here are more novel; perhaps more difficult to evaluate
 - CBDC does not have clear analog in current arrangements
 - somewhat like government money market mutual funds?
 - but easy to use in transactions; could be more popular
- Can look for similar arrangements in other countries
 - Bahama's Sand Dollar is operational, and China's DCEP has been in advanced pilots
 - but they operate in very different financial systems
 - DCEP competes with Alipay and WeChat pay more than with bank deposits, for example



- Fundamental issue: use of public vs. privately-created money
 - long-running historical debates about the appropriate role of the public sector in creating money
 - modern incarnation: should the need for tokenized money be met by stablecoins or CBDC?
- CBDC may also be similar to a narrow-banking arrangement
 - a bank that issues deposits while holding 100% cash reserves is like a "synthetic CBDC" (IMF)
 - many historical proposals for narrow banks to promote financial stability



- Broadly speaking, DeFi aims to replace traditional intermediaries with automated protocols
 - includes market making, collateralized lending, and more
 - new terminology: liquidity pools, wrapped assets, staking
 - but aiming to solve traditional economic problems
- Result: many of the risks that arise with DeFi are familiar
 - but may be exacerbated (ex: higher leverage)
- Area of rapid (and interesting) innovation
 - potential to enhance efficiency in some areas

- Current regulatory and supervisory framework is designed for existing arrangements and institutions
 - reporting standards and data collection
 - capital, liquidity, and risk management standards
 - banks and other regulated institutions provide shock absorbers in the financial system
 - activities without these safeguards bring heightened risk
- Identifying emerging risks in this fast-changing landscape





- 1. Framing the issue
- 2. OFR activities to date
 - market monitoring
 - long-term research projects
- 3. Guidance and input
 - four questions



- OFR is integrating digital assets into its established monitoring processes
- Internal working group on Crypto/Digital Assets
 - regularly review market developments
 - discuss recent outside research on digital assets
 - looking into accounting treatment of digital assets, etc.
- 2021 Annual Report to Congress included:
 - digital assets as part of evaluation of market risk
 - discussion box on risks related to stablecoins and CBDC



"Making Money" by Sharon Ross (with Gary Gorton & Chase Ross)

- Emphasize that successful forms of *money* are accepted at par — people do not investigate its value ("no questions asked")
- Develop a model of how private assets can attain this status
 a combination of technology, reputation of the issuer
- Use the model to compare the development of:
 - banknotes in the pre-Civil War period
 - modern stablecoins
- Analysis emphasizes the difficulty of "making" money



- "Should Central Banks Issue Digital Currency?" by Todd Keister (with Daniel Sanches) forthcoming in the *Review of Economic Studies*
- Analyzes macroeconomic effects of a CBDC that competes with cash and/or bank deposits as a medium of exchange
- A CBDC that competes with deposits will raise bank funding costs

 lower bank deposits, bank-funded investment
- But may nevertheless be desirable
 - increases total supply of liquid assets in the economy
 - important that CB not make CBDC too attractive (could require fees/negative interest rates)

OFR

"CBDC: Stability and Information" by Todd Keister (with Cyril Monnet)

- Develops a model of runs into CBDC
- Captures the commonly-expressed concern
 - all else equal, the ability to hold CBDC makes uninsured depositors more likely to run on their bank
- However, shows there are countervailing forces
- Most notably: observing flows into CBDC may help regulators identify problem areas in real time
 - result: faster policy reaction that *improves* financial stability





- 1. Framing the issue
- 2. OFR activities to date
- 3. Guidance and input
 - four questions



- 1. On the conceptual frameworks:
 - what type risks might be overlooked by these analogies to existing arrangements?
 - what blind spots could arise when thinking about digital assets in these (traditional) ways?
- 2. How is innovation in digital assets pressuring traditional financial institutions to respond?
 - what indirect risks may arise as traditional institutions try to compete with fintech firms and others?
 - *where* are these indirect risks most likely to arise?



- 3. Data and reliability:
 - how are traditional institutions evaluating value/risks?
 - what sources are they looking at for data on market activity, and how do they evaluate what data is reliable?
- 4. Market monitoring: where to look?
 - are traditional institutions more likely to engage with digital assets directly or through intermediaries/custodians?
 - are there certain intermediaries that are widely used?
 - are firms using or accepting digital assets directly as collateral?



Thank you

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH