Discussion

Seth Pruitt^1

¹Federal Reserve Board of Governors

This paper reflects the views and opinions of the authors and does not reflect on the views or opinions of the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Reserve System, or their respective staffs.

1. Cleveland FSI (CFSI)

2. IMF FSI

3. CISS

- 1. Cleveland FSI (CFSI)
 - market variables
 - empirical CDF
 - credit weight
- 2. IMF FSI
- 3. CISS

1. Cleveland FSI (CFSI)

- market variables
- empirical CDF
- credit weight
- 2. IMF FSI
 - market/book variables
 - simple averages?
- 3. CISS

1. Cleveland FSI (CFSI)

- market variables
- empirical CDF
- credit weight
- 2. IMF FSI
 - market/book variables
 - simple averages?
- 3. CISS
 - market variables
 - empirical CDF
 - correlation and impact weight

CFSI and CISS

$$Y_t = \sum_j^J w_{jt} F_j(x_{jt})$$

	CFSI	CISS
J	11	15

w_{jt}	Credit in mkt. segment from flow of funds	Impact from quantile regression \times time-varying correlation
F_i	emp. CDF of indicator x	emp. CDF of indicator x ,

possibly recursively estimated

Robustness of idea is nice – Hollo, Kremer and Lo Duca point out the reclassification problem and this appears to help

These Papers

- Oet, Bianco, Gramlich, Ong (JBF forth)
 - Early-warning system predicting CFSI
 - financial variables
- Christensen and Li (2013)
 - Early-warning system predicting IMF FSI
 - macro variables
- Hollo, Kremer, Lo Duca (2012)
 - Construct CISS
 - financial variables

I start with the EWS

- Key idea: imbalances
- Different models
 - identify stresses accumulating to act (long-lag model)
 - predict near-term (short-lag model)
- · Brings to forefront idea of policy endogeneity
 - Can confound any prediction exercise
- A lot going on in paper
 - I may have gotten lost somewhere in the middle

Short-lag model

$$Y_t = \sum_{k=1}^{7} \beta_{S,k} SL_{kt} + \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{7} \beta_{S,k}\right) SL_{8t}$$

Long-lag model

$$Y_t = \sum_{k=1}^{7} \beta_{L,k} L L_{kt} + \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{7} \beta_{L,k}\right) S L_{8t}$$

SL and LL from individual regressions selected using $t\mbox{-tests},$ Granger tests, collinearity statistics, and judgmental selection

A lot of work behind the scenes

A concern I have – SL_j (or LL_j) contain intersecting subsets of variables

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= a_0 + a_1 X_{1t} & \longrightarrow \hat{Y}_{at} \\ Y_t &= b_0 + b_1 X_{1t} + b_2 X_{2t} & \longrightarrow \hat{Y}_{bt} \end{aligned}$$

A concern I have – SL_j (or LL_j) contain intersecting subsets of variables

 \sim

$$Y_t = a_0 + a_1 X_{1t} \qquad \rightarrow Y_{at}$$

$$Y_t = b_0 + b_1 X_{1t} + b_2 X_{2t} \qquad \rightarrow \hat{Y}_{bt}$$

So probably these SL_j don't contain exactly the same variables, due to different lag choices?

Equity imbalances: "increase in real equity should be positively related to systemic financial stress"

- A volatility paradox like Brunnermeier and Sannikov?
- If value ratio: past positive returns push up and therefore expected future returns lower

Liquidity imbalances: "asset liability mismatch will positively reflect greater systemic risk"

- greater roll-over risk clear
- but also indicating greater ability to perform core financial intermediation?

- Key idea: probability forecast
- Convert variables to indicator variables
- Brings to forefront idea of prediction
- Paper a work in progress
 - Some things to clarify

Use the IMF FSI for nation j.

High-stress event H is

$$H_{jt} = \begin{cases} 1 & Y_{jt} > \mu_j + 1.5\sigma_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Imminent even ${\boldsymbol{G}}$ is

$$G_{jt} = \begin{cases} 1 & H_{j,t+j} \text{ for any } j = 1, 2, 3, 4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Predict whether today is G using market variables X_{kjt}

Market variable X_t (subsume j, k) is converted to signals in three ways

$$\begin{aligned} O_t &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & X_t \notin (-X_O^*, X_O^*) & \text{Ordinary signal} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} & \text{Ordinary signal} \\ M_t &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & X_t \notin (-X_M^*, X_M^*) \text{ and } X_t \in (-X_E, X_E) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} & \text{Mild signal} \\ E_t &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & X_t \notin (-X_E, X_E) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} & \text{Extreme signal} \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Composite indicators constructed (subsume j)

$$I_{1t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} O_{kt}$$

$$I_{2t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{kt} + E_{kt}$$

$$I_{3t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} O_{kt} \frac{1}{\omega_k} \qquad \qquad \omega_j \text{ noise-to-signal ratio}$$

Then convert to probability based on historical relationship

Define noise-to-signal ratio

$$\omega = \frac{\text{CallWrong}}{\text{CallWrong} + \text{QuietCorrect}} \times \frac{\text{CallCorrect}}{\text{CallCorrect} + \text{QuietWrong}}.$$
Perfect signal $\rightarrow \omega = 0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega} = \infty$: maybe not well-behaved
Instead, consider $y = x + e$ for independent x, e and x what you want to know. Try signal-to-noise ratio

$$\frac{Var(x)}{Var(x) + Var(e)} \in [0, 1]$$

So here

$$\frac{1}{\omega} = \frac{\text{CallCorrect} + \text{QuietCorrect}}{\text{CallCorrect} + \text{CallWrong} + \text{QuietCorrect} + \text{QuietWrong}}$$

Any difference?

One criterion is $QPS = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t}^{T} 2(\hat{I}_t - G_t)^2 \in [0, 2]$ Suppose T = 100Event 6.25%/time separated by many periods $\rightarrow G_t = 1.25\%$ /time $P_t = 0 \rightarrow QPS = 0.5$ $P_t = 1 \rightarrow QPS = 1.5$ $P_t = 0.25 \rightarrow QPS = 0.38$ Event 1.25%/time separated by many periods $\rightarrow G_t = 1.5\%$ /time $P_t = 0 \rightarrow QPS = 0.1$ $P_t = 1 \rightarrow QPS = 1.8$ $P_t = 0.25 \rightarrow QPS = 0.095$ Point of comparison would help

Not about the glam-rock band from New York City



- Key idea: correlation between indicators
- Brings to forefront idea of *widespread* or *contagious* financial conditions

Overall index Y_t constructed from 5 subcomponents S_{it} which comprised of 3 inputs Z_{ijt}

- Z_{ijt} from empirical CDF of underlying variable X_{ijt}
 - Z is broad index of financial market segment

•
$$S_{it} = Z_{i1t} + Z_{i2t} + Z_{i3t}$$

portfolio implication – Zs perfectly correlated

$$Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \end{bmatrix} C_t \begin{bmatrix} w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \end{bmatrix}'$$

$$Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \end{bmatrix} C_t \begin{bmatrix} w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1t}S_{1t} & \cdots & w_{5t}S_{5t} \end{bmatrix}'$$

 w_{it} is "real-impact" weight coming from lower-tail quantile regression of IP on \mathbb{Z}_i

- Economic content being brought in
- Surprised these w are so similar
- In Giglio, Kelly, Pruitt, Qiao we find some stress indicators much more informative of median/tails of future economic activity

 C_t is time-varying correlation matrix from exponentially-weighted moving-average

• Emphasizes *correlation* as key marker of stressful episodes

Use Threshold VAR to analyze effect on IP during high- and low-stress times

- Idea that stress only matters sometimes
- Threshold value only breached in 2007 all from recent episode



• About nonlinear model/solutions like Krishnamurthy and He (2013) or Brunnermeier and Sannikov (2012)

Wrapping up

Systemic-risk / financial-stress / financial-stability / financial-fragility

• Oet, Bianco, Gramlich, Ong

Systemic risk is a condition in which the observed movements of financial market components reach certain thresholds and persist

• Hollo, Kremer and Lo Duca

Systemic risk can be defined as the risk that instability becomes so widespread within the financial system that it impairs its functioning to the point where economic growth and welfare suffer materially (de Bandt and Hartmann 2000).

• Christiansen and Li - prediction is important

Elusive, and these are important efforts at narrowing in on the important mechanisms